UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,995	03/10/2002 .	Michael J. Curry	1049.002US1	2530
	7590 11/28/200 <sup>.</sup> S OF MICHAEL DRY.	EXAMINER		
1474 N COOPER RD #105-248			DINH, KHANH Q	
GILBERT, AZ 85233			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2151	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments		09/683,995	CURRY ET AL.				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Khanh Dinh	2151				
۔ Period foi	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO   - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 Se</u>	eptember 2007.					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
4)🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application	on Papers						
9)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
ı	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[] 1	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/683,995 Page 2

Art Unit: 2151

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This is in response to the Amendment and Remarks filed on 9/13/2007. Claims
 1-24 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Budge et al., US pat. No.6,564,248.

As to claim 1, Budge discloses a system comprising:

a network and a first client (sub-system 2 of fig.1) having a first email messaging program (50 fig.1) installed thereon, a composing user (user) composing a message on the first email messaging program, the composing user recording media on the first email message program, the first email messaging program sending the message to a receiving user over the network (i.e., using 50 fig.1 to provide the creation of video email

Art Unit: 2151

5/// 50//// 5/ / tambon. 55/555/55

messages and transferring of those email messages to a conventional email client, see abstract, figs.1, 2B, 2C, col.3 line 17 to col.4 line 49).

a second client (receiving sub-system 4 of fig. 1) having a second email messaging program (using same software program in fig.2B for receiving sub-system 4 of fig.1) installed thereon on which the receiving user receives the message over the network, the second email messaging program playing back the media upon the user viewing the message (i.e., playing back the audio and video portions of the received video email, see figs.5, 6, col.3 line 17 to col.4 line 49, col.5 line 12 to col.6 line 54); wherein the first email messaging program sends the message and the media over the network by itself, without having to use any other email messaging program on the first client (video email messages are sent as video files or self contained executable files, see col.5 lines 5-58 and col.6 lines 20-54).

As to claim 2, Budge discloses a streaming media server, the first email messaging program uploading the media to the streaming media server upon the message being sent to the receiving user over the network, and the second email messaging program downloading the media from the streaming media server over the network upon the receiving user viewing the message (see fig.5, col.5 line 12 to col.6 line 54).

As to claims 3, 11, 14, Budge further discloses an email messaging program attaches the media as an attachment to the message upon the message being sent to the receiving user over the network, and the second email messaging program receives the

Art Unit: 2151

media as the attachment to the message over the network (i.e., sending email with an attach executable video email player, see col.3 line 54 to col.4 line 49 and col.5 line 26 to col.6 line 54).

As to claims 4, Budge discloses at least one of: the Internet, an intranet, an extranet, a local-area network (LAN), a wide-area network (WAN), a wired network, a wireless network, and a telephony network (see col.3 lines 7-53).

As to claim 5, Budge discloses at least one of the first clients and the second client comprises: a desktop computer, a laptop computer, a cellular phone, a wireless phone, a set-top box, and a personal digital assistant (PDA) device (see col.3 line 17 to col.4 line 49).

As to claims 6 and 7, Budge discloses text and at least one of: audio, video, streaming audio and streaming video (see col.5 line 26 to col.6 line 54).

As to claim 8, Budge discloses a system comprising:

a networking mechanism communicatively coupling the system to a network (Internet, col.4 lines 5-29),

an email messaging program (50 fig.1) having at least a composing capability for a user (sending sub-system 2 of fig.1) to compose a message and record media associated with the message to send to another user over the network via the

Art Unit: 2151

networking mechanism (see abstract, figs.1, 2B, 2C, col.3 line 17 to col.4 line 49 and col.5 lines 26-67),

wherein the user composes the message and records the media on the email messaging program (i.e., using 50 fig.1 to provide the creation of video email messages and transferring of those email messages to a conventional email client, see abstract, figs.1, 2B, 2C, col.3 line 17 to col.4 line 49), wherein the first email messaging program sends the message and the media over the network by itself, without having to use any other email messaging program on the first client (video email messages are sent as video files or self contained executable files, see col.5 lines 5-58 and col.6 lines 20-54).

As to claim 9, Budge discloses an operating system on which the email messaging program runs (see col.4 line 31 to col.5 line 58 and col.6 lines 1-54).

As to claim 10, Budge discloses capability uploads the media to a streaming media server communicatively coupled to the network over the network via the networking mechanism upon the message being sent to the other user over the network via the networking mechanism (see figs.4, 5, col.5 line 12 to col.6 line 54).

As to claims 12 and 13, Budge discloses a playback capability for the user to view messages received from other users over the network via the networking mechanism and play back received media associated with the messages received and downloading

Art Unit: 2151

the media associated with one of the messages received from the streaming media server over the network via the networking mechanism upon the user viewing the one of the messages received (i.e., playing back the audio and video portions of the received video email, see figs.4, 5, col.3 line 17 to col.4 line 49).

As to claim 15, Budge discloses at least one of: an analog modem, an Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN) adapter, a network adapter card, a network adapter chipset, a cable modem, a Digital Subscriber Loop (DSL) modem, a digital modem, and a wireless modem (see col.3 line 6 to col.4 line 49).

Claims 16 and 17 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 6 and 7 respectively.

As to claim 18, Budge discloses a method comprising:

saving a message entered by a user by an email messaging program (see abstract, figs.1, 2B, 2C, col.3 line 17 to col.4 line 49),

recording media associated with the message by the email messaging program, uploading the media to a streaming media server over a network by the email messaging program (50 fig.1) and sending the message over the network by the email messaging program (see figs.1, 3, col.4 line 50 to col.6 line 54),

wherein the user composes the message and records the media on the email messaging program (i.e., using 50 fig.1 to provide the creation of video email

Art Unit: 2151

messages and transferring of those email messages to a conventional email client, see

abstract, figs.1, 2B, 2C, col.3 line 17 to col.4 line 49),

wherein the first email messaging program sends the message and the media over the

network by itself, without having to use any other email messaging program on the first

client (video email messages are sent as video files or self contained executable files,

see col.5 lines 5-58 and col.6 lines 20-54).

As to claim 19, Budge discloses receiving a second message over the network by the

email messaging program in response to a user requesting the email messaging

program to display the second message, displaying the second message by the email

messaging program, downloading second media associated with the message from the

streaming media server over the network by the email messaging program and playing

back the second media by the email messaging program (i.e., playing back the audio

and video portions of the received video email, see figs.4, 5, col.3 line 17 to col.4 line

49).

Claim 20 is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 18. As to the added

limitation, Budge discloses sending the media as an attachment to the message (i.e.,

sending email with an attach executable video email player, see col.3 line 54 to col.4

line 49 and col.5 line 26 to col.6 line 54).

As to claim 21, Budge discloses receiving a second message over the network by the

email messaging program; in response to a user requesting the email messaging

Art Unit: 2151

program to display the second message, displaying the second message by the email messaging program, downloading second media associated with the message from the streaming media server over the network by the email messaging program and playing back the second media by the email messaging program (i.e., playing back the audio and video portions of the received video email, see figs.5, 6, col.3 line 17 to col.4 line 49, col.5 line 12 to col.6 line 54).

As to claim 22, Budge discloses a computer-readable medium having instructions stored thereon for an email messaging program of a system, the email messaging program comprising:

means for composing a first message by a first user (1 fig.1) and for recording first media associated with the first message to send to a second user (4 fig.1) over a network (see abstract, figs.1, 2B, 2C, col.3 line 17 to col.4 line 49),

means for viewing a second message received from the second user over the network by the first user, and for playing back second media associated with the second message (i.e., playing back the audio and video portions of the received video email, see figs.5, 6, col.3 line 17 to col.4 line 49, col.5 line 12 to col.6 line 54),

wherein the user composes the message and records the media on the email messaging program (i.e., using 50 fig.1 to provide the creation of video email messages and transferring of those email messages to a conventional email client, see abstract, figs.1, 2B, 2C, col.3 line 17 to col.4 line 49),

Art Unit: 2151

wherein the first email messaging program sends the message and the media over the network by itself, without having to use any other email messaging program on the first client (video email messages are sent as video files or self contained executable files, see col.5 lines 5-58 and col.6 lines 20-54).

As to claim 23, Budge discloses uploading the first media to a streaming media server communicatively coupled to the network over the network upon the first message being sent to

the second user over the network, and the means for viewing and for playing back downloads the second media from the streaming media server over the network upon the first user viewing the second message (i.e., playing back the audio and video portions of the received video email, see figs.5, 6, col.3 line 17 to col.4 line 49, col.5 line 12 to col.6 line 54).

Claim 24 is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 20.

## Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed on 9/13/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
  - Applicant asserts that the Budge reference does not disclose the first email messaging program sends the message and the media over the

Art Unit: 2151

network by itself, without having to use any other email messaging program on the first client.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Budge explicitly discloses wherein the first email messaging program sends the message and the media over the network by itself, without having to use any other email messaging program on the first client (video email messages are sent as video files or self contained executable files, see col.5 lines 5-58 and col.6 lines 20-54) as rejected above.

As a result, cited prior art does disclose an email messaging system, as broadly claimed by the Applicants. Applicants clearly have still failed to identify specific claim limitations that would define a clearly patentable distinction over prior art.

## Conclusion

- 5. Claims 1-24 are rejected.
- 6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2151

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 7.

examiner should be directed to Khanh Dinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3936. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.m.

to 5:00 P.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Zarni Maung, can be reached on (571) 272-3939. The fax phone number

for this group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for patents P O Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100